

Therapy-related Myeloid Neoplasms Following Radiation Therapy Only

Richard A. Larson, MD The University of Chicago

FIFTH

INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON SECONDARY LEUKEMIA AND LEUKEMOGENESIS

HONORARY PRESIDENT: GIUSEPPE LEONE CONGRESS ORGANIZERS: FRANCESCO LO COCO, LIVIO PAGANO, MARIA TERESA VOSO

> ROMA, SEPTEMBER 22-24, 2016 NH Collection Vittorio Veneto Hotel



	Di	isclosures o	f Richard A.	Larson, I	MD		
Company name	Research support	Employee	Consultant	Stock holder	Speakers bureau	Advisory board	Other
Ariad							DSMB
Astellas	X						
BristolMyers							DSMB
Celgene	X		X				DSMB
CVS			X				
Daiichi Sankyo	X						
Erytech	X		X				
Novartis	X		X				
Pfizer			X				

WHAT IT IS, WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW

ROBERT PETER GALE, M.D. AND ERIC LAX

Radiation therapy & t-MN

- Does exposure to ionizing radiation cause leukemia?
- How?
 - Stochastic DNA damage
 - Germline predisposition
 - Clonal selection
- How much absorbed radiation is necessary to cause leukemia?
 - Therapeutic exposure
 - Medical diagnostic exposure
 - Environmental / occupational exposure

Radiation therapy & t-MN

- Have modern RT techniques [mega-voltage linear accelerators; intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT)] decreased the generation of leukemia?
- Do radiation-related myeloid neoplasms differ from those that follow alkylating agents and other cytotoxic drugs?
- How should patients with t-MN that occurs after radiation be managed?



Low dose irradiation is leukemogenic.



Illustration of the concept, introduced by Gray, that the incidence of radiationinduced cancer follows a "bell" shape because of the balance between the induction of transformed cells and cell killing.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE EJ Hall. Internat Journal Rad Oncol 2006; 65 (1): 1–7

A single brief exposure to radiation is leukemogenic.



of radiation on humans. They, as well as members of the local medical community, were eognizant of the potential significance of hematologic findings resulting from irradiation. With improvement of local conditions and nucleical facilities, a systematic investigation became possible, and a routine hematologic survey was instituted.²³ Since 1948, scientists of the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission in cooperation with physicians in the community have made intensive efforts to detect hematologic and other abnormalities in both the exposed and the nonexposed segments of the population. To collect information on patients with hematologic abnormalities before 1950, lists of patients and any available slides and related materials were exchanged by the ABCC and local physicians and continuously reviewed. Since 1950 these efforts have been intensified, so that few if any leukemia cases among survivors remaining in Hiroshima or its immediate environs can have been missed. Even for the first four yours after the bombing, the likelihood of missing cases of leukemia is probably small.

From the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission, Hiroshima-Nagasaki, Japan, a research agency of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, under a grant from U.S. Atomic Energy Commission administered in co-operation with the Japanese National Institute of Health of the Ministry of Health and Welfare. Submitted June 8, 1959; accepted for publication Aug. 12, 1959.

313



Acute Loukemia

YEARS AFTER EXPOSURE

Figure 2. Influence of age at time of irradiation on latent period and period at risk for the development of leukemia among heavily exposed survivors of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. ATB = at time of bombing. (*From* Health Effects of Exposure to Low Levels of ionizing Radiation: BEIR III. Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations, National Research Council, Washington, National Academy Press, 1980.)



Lifetime probability of fatal secondary malignancy

Organ site	Probability of fatal cancer (%/
Organ site	5v)
Bladder	0.3
Bone marrow	0.5
Bone surface	0.05
Breast	0.2
Esophagus	0.3
Colon	0.85
Liver	0.15
Lung	0.85
Ovary	0.1
Skin	0.02
Stomach	1.10
Thyroid	0.08
Remainder of body	0.5
Total	5

EJ Hall. Internat Journal Rad Oncol 2006; 65 (1): 1–7



Lifetime probability of fatal secondary malignancy

Organ site	Probability of fatal cancer (%/
Digdil Sile	
Bladder	0.3
Bone marrow	0.5
Bone surface	0.05
Breast	0.2
Esophagus	0.3
Colon	0.85
Liver	0.15
Lung	0.85
Ovary	0.1
Skin	0.02
Stomach	1.10
Thyroid	0.08
Remainder of body	0.5
Total	5

EJ Hall. Internat Journal Rad Oncol 2006; 65 (1): 1–7



Health effects of ionizing radiation on man

- The gray quantity "D"
- 1 Gy = 1 joule/kilogram a physical quantity. 1 Gy is the deposition of a joule of radiation energy in a kg of matter or tissue. [Deterministic effect -- e.g., 40 Gy to treat NHL]
- The sievert quantity "H"
- 1 Sv = 1 joule/kilogram a biological effect. The sievert represents the equivalent biological effect of the deposit of a joule of radiation energy in a kilogram of human tissue.



Health effect of ionizing radiation on man

- The sievert a biological effect.
- One sievert is equal to 100 rem (Roentgen equivalent man).
- One sievert carries with it a 5.5% chance of eventually developing cancer.
- For occupational exposure, the limit is 50 mSv in a single year.
 - A maximum of 100 mSv in a consecutive 5-year period.
 - For the public, an average of 1 mSv (0.001 Sv) of effective dose per year.



Occupational & accidental exposures

- 1.5 to 1.7 mSv: annual dose for flight attendants
- 2 to 7 mSv: barium fluoroscopy, e.g. Barium meal
- 10 to 30 mSv: single full-body CT scan
- 68 mSv: estimated maximum dose to evacuees who lived closest to the Fukushima I nuclear accidents
- 80 mSv: 6 months stay on the International Space Station
- 250 mSv: 6-month trip to Mars radiation due to difficult-to-shield cosmic rays
- 500 mSv: The U.S. occupational dose limit, shallow-dose equivalent to skin, per annum
- 670 mSv: highest dose received by a worker responding to the Fukushima emergency
- 1 Sv: Maximum allowed radiation exposure for NASA astronauts over their career
- 4.5 to 6 Sv: fatal acute doses during Goiânia accident

Occupational & accidental exposures

- 1.5 to 1.7 mSv: annual dose for flight attendants
- 2 to 7 mSv: barium fluoroscopy, e.g. Barium meal
- 10 to 30 mSv: single full-body CT scan
- 68 mSv: estimated maximum dose to evacuees who lived closest to the Fukushima I nuclear accidents
- <u>80 mSv: 6 months stay on the International Space Station</u>
- <u>250 mSv: 6-month trip to Mars radiation due to difficult-to-shield cosmic rays</u>
- 500 mSv: The U.S. occupational dose limit, shallow-dose equivalent to skin, per annum
- 670 mSv: highest dose received by a worker responding to the Fukushima emergency
- <u>1 Sv: Maximum allowed radiation exposure for NASA astronauts over their career</u>
- 4.5 to 6 Sv: fatal acute doses during Goiânia accident

Intensity-modulated radiation therapy

- IMRT delivers higher energies to tumor masses by using multiple angled beams.
- IMRT spreads out the radiation dose so that a larger volume of surrounding tissues receives a lower, less toxic, but more leukemogenic dose.
- It is estimated that IMRT is associated with a 3-fold increased risk for a second cancer compared with 3dimensional confocal RT.

Sountoulides et al. Ther Adv Urol 2010; 2(3): 119-125. Ruben et al. Int J Radiation Oncol Biol Phys 2008; 70(5): 1530-1536. Kry et al. The calculated risk of fatal secondary malignancies from intensity-modulated radiation therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2005 Jul 15;62(4):1195-203. Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy: multiple beams



3-D Confocal RT: parallel opposed ports







Radiation scatter & penumbra effects

- Cortical bone causes radiation to scatter, thus spreading the effect into surrounding tissues.
- Mega-voltage techniques deliver more energy to the targeted tumor mass. These doses would be lethal to hematopoietic stem cells.
- However, leakage from the collimator and the spreading of the photon beam results in much lower doses to the immediate surrounding tissues. These low doses are in the leukemogenic range.



Radiation leakage from the collimator

Same Leakage for Adult RT vs. Pediatric RT — But in Pediatric RT Scatter from the Treatment Volume Is More Significant







Is Survival for <u>t-MN after RT</u> similar to *de novo* AML?

	RT	CT/CMT	De novo
No. of Patients	47	181	222
Median age (range)	74 (40-87)	65 (14-88)	66 (18-93)
Median Latency, months	60	57	



RT = radiation therapy only CT = chemotherapy only CMT = combined modality therapy (CT+RT)

Is Survival for <u>t-MN after RT</u> similar to *de novo* AML?

	RT	CT/CMT	De novo
No. of Patients	47	181	222
Karyotypes:			
Normal (%)	20 (43)	26 (14)	99 (45)
Abnormal (%)	24 (51)	150 (83)	113 (51)
Deletion of chr. 5	9 (19)	95 (52)	38 (17)
Deletion/loss of chr. 7	9 (19)	92 (51)	35 (16)
Deletion/loss of either chromosome 5 or 7	12 (26)	115 (63)	50 (23)

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE Nardi et al. J Clin Oncol 2012: 30: 2340

Is OS for <u>t-MN after RT</u> similar to *de novo* AML?

	RT	CT/CMT	De novo
No. of Patients	47	181	222
Karyotypes:			
Normal (%)	20 (43)	26 (14)	99 (45)
Abnormal (%)	24 (51)	150 (83)	113 (51)
Deletion of chr. 5	9 (19)	95 (52)	38 (17)
Deletion/loss of chr. 7	9 (19)	92 (51)	35 (16)
Deletion/loss of either chromosome 5 or 7	12 (26)	115 (63)	50 (23)

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE Nardi et al. J Clin Oncol 2012: 30: 2340

Is OS for <u>t-MN after RT</u> similar to *de novo* AML?

	RT	CT/CMT	De novo
No. of Patients	47	181	222
Karyotypes:			
Normal (%)	20 (43)	26 (14)	99 (45)
Abnormal (%)	24 (51)	150 (83)	113 (51)
Deletion of chr. 5	9 (19)	95 (52)	38 (17)
Deletion/loss of chr. 7	9 (19)	92 (51)	35 (16)
Deletion/loss of either chromosome 5 or 7	12 (26)	115 (63)	50 (23)

Nardi et al. J Clin Oncol 2012: 30: 2340

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE

Survival is similar when matched for cytogenetics.



THE UNIVERSITY OF Nardi et al. J Clin Oncol 2012: 30: 2340

86 Patients with t-MN after RT only

- Consecutive cases at University of Chicago (1972-2015)
- 41 females; 45 males
- 62 white (72%); 13 African American (15%); 1 Asian (1%); 10 not recorded (12%)
- Median age at primary diagnosis: 64 years (range, 1-83)
- Median latency from RT to t-MN: 71 months (IQR, 31-126)



Age at Radiation Treatment



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE

t-MN after RT Only 25

86 Patients with t-MN after RT only

Primary Diagnosis	No. of Patients	Percent	
Hematologic Cancer	5	6	4 HD; 1 NHL
Prostate/testicular	36	42	2 testis
Breast Cancer	19	20	
Gynecologic	15	19	6 uterus; 5 cervix
Head and Neck	4	4	
Thyroid Cancer	2	2	
Lung Cancer	2	2	
Meduloblastoma	1	1	
Non-malignant	2	2	Acne; HyperThyroid

86 Patients with t-MN after RT only

- 42 presented with t-MDS
 - 13 of these later developed >20% blasts
- 44 presented with t-AML (>20% blasts)

Karyotype	No. of Patients	Percent
Normal	16	19
Abnormal chromos. 5 and/or 7	45	52
Recurring balanced translocation	12	14
Other clonal abnormalities	13	15

Clonal cytogenetic abnormalities in 86 t-MN patients after RT

No. of patients (%)	
13 (15)	
11 (13)	> Abnl 5
10 (12)	J
6 (7))
3 (3)	Abnl 7
2 (2)	J
3 (3))
5 (6)	Balanced
4 (5)	J
3 (3)	
4 (5)	C Other clonal
6 (7)	J
	No. of patients (%) $13 (15)$ $11 (13)$ $10 (12)$ $6 (7)$ $3 (3)$ $2 (2)$ $3 (3)$ $5 (6)$ $4 (5)$ $3 (3)$ $4 (5)$ $6 (7)$

75 Patients with t-MN after RT only

Latency in months (excluding cases >20 years)			
Karyotype	No. of Patients	Mean Latency	Minimum - Maximum
Normal	14	58 mos.	17 – 115
Abnormal chrom. 5 or 7	41	77	10 – 204
Recurring Balanced	12	39	11 – 95
Other clonal abnormal.	8	103	21 - 194

P = 0.009 overall;

P = 0.055 between Abnormal 5/7 and Recurring balanced



86 Patients with t-MN after RT only

Treatment for t-MN	No. of Patients
Supportive care only	11
Chemotherapy	34
Chemotherapy followed by allogeneic transplantation	16 [10 had abnormal chr 5 or 7]
Unknown	25

- Median survival: 318 days (IQR, 150 916)
- 10 patients remain alive:
 - 4 with inv(16) or t(16;16)
 - > 1 with t(15;17)
 - 1 with del(5q), t(1;3)
 - 4 with normal karyotypes [2 had alloHCT]

Survival of 86 t-MN patients after RT only



University of Chicago t-MN Series, 2016

THE UNIVERSITY OF

CHICAGO MEDICINE

t-MN after RT Only 31

Survival by cytogenetic subgroup

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE



University of Chicago t-MN Series, 2016

t-MN after RT Only 32

Survival by treatment modality (n=61)

THE UNIVERSITY OF

CHICAGO MEDICINE



University of Chicago t-MN Series, 2016

International Workshop on the Relationship of Prior Therapy to Balanced Chromosome Aberrations in Therapy-Related Myeloid Leukemia

	inv(16) N=48	t(15;17) N=41
Male : Female	18 : 30	15 : 26
Age at primary diagnosis: median (range), years	43 (6-75)	46 (18-79)
Cytotoxic exposure:		
Radiation only	10 (21%)	12 (29%)
Chemotherapy only	14 (29%)	7 (17%)
Combined RT + chemo	24 (50%)	22 (54%)
Age at t-MN: median (range)	48 (13-77)	49 (19-81)
Latency: Median (range), months	22 (8-533)	29 (9-175)

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE MK Andersen et al. Genes Chromos Cancer 2002; 33: 395-400 t-MN after RT Only International Workshop on the Relationship of Prior Therapy to <u>Balanced Translocations</u> in t-AML



Genes Chromos Cancer, April 2002

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO MEDICINE

t-MN after RT Only

Management of t-MN

THE UNIVERSITY OF

CHICAGO MEDICINE



Larson RA, Le Beau MM. Prognosis and therapy when acute promyelocytic leukemia and other "good risk" acute myeloid leukemias occur as a therapy-related myeloid neoplasm. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis. 2011;3(1): e2011032.

t-MN after RT Only

Summary

- Ionizing radiation is leukemogenic.
- Balanced chromosomal rearrangements occur after RT.
- t-MN following RT alone often has striking clinical and cytogenetic similarities to alkylator-associated t-MN.
 - Frequent clonal abnormalities of chromosomes 5 and 7.
 - Relatively long latencies (5-10 years).
 - Poor outcomes even with intensive therapy.
- Cytogenetics and not just previous cytotoxic therapy determine the course of t-MN
 - Some patients with recurring translocations or normal karyotypes have a better response to treatment and longer survival.

The Leukemia Program at The University of Chicago

Wendy Stock, MD Andy Artz, MD Michael Bishop, MD Jane Churpek, MD Chris Daugherty, MD Lucy A. Godley, MD, PhD Hongtao Liu, MD, PhD Richard A. Larson, MD Toyosi Odenike, MD Michael J. Thirman, MD Hematopathology John Anastasi, MD Jason Cheng, MD, PhD Sandeep Gurbuxani, MD, PhD Elizabeth Hyjek, MD James W. Vardiman, MD Girish Venkataraman, MD

/ID Ted Karrison, PhD

Cytogenetics and Molecular Biology

Michelle M. Le Beau, PhDLynn Wang, MD, PhDResearch Nurses and Nurse PractitionersPeggy Green, RNJean Ridgeway, DNPNancy Glavin, RNLauren Ziskind, ANP





